

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/262,123	CHALLENGER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kambiz Zand	2132	

All Participants:

(1) Kambiz Zand.

(2) Brian Russel.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 May 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

of all claims

Claims discussed:

ALL

Prior art documents discussed:

Micali

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: : It was agreed that Applicant's arguments in the response dated 12/13/2004 would be validated over Micali only in the light of incorporation of claims 4 and 12 into claims 1 and 9 respectively. Applicant agreed to amend the claims accordingly. In the follow up interview on 05/24/2005 it was further agreed that claims 5 and 13 be amended by Examiner amendment in order to correct the dependency from the independent claims. . .